This is a Class 3 exam

Lebanon Evangelical School for Boys and Girls

Lebanon Evangelical School
for Boys and Girls Loueizeh - Baabda

Mock Exams : Pt1

9, 10, 11 MAY022

Do NOT write in this box. Your MARK will be placed here.

Subject :	Phil and Civ
Class :	12SE
Teacher :	Elyann Daher
Duration :	2 hours

Your name :		*Time taken to complete exam*
Your Class :		
Exam Room :		
How many sheets, including cover sheet :		

- Do not open this paper until the teacher in charge instructs you to do so.
- The most important thing to remember in taking an exam is NEVER PANIC.
- If you are caught cheating in this exam, you will be awarded zero for ALL exams that are taken on this day.

Choose <u>one</u> of the three following subjects:

<u>Subject one:</u>

For sociology to be a real knowledge of the social phenomena, we should have reached a level where social facts are subject to interpretation.

1- Explain this judgment for "Durkheim," and state the problematic it raises. (9 points)
2- Discuss this judgment in the light of other theories. (7 points)
3- Do you see that the different statistical results of a social phenomenon can lead us to question the objectivity of sociology? Justify your answer. (4 points)

<u>Subject two:</u>

The struggle between science and philosophy is finished, the victorious science suppressed philosophy.

1- Explain this judgment and state the problematic it raises. (9 points)
2- Discuss this judgment in light of other theories. (7 points)
3- Do you believe that only philosophers can philosophize? Justify your answer. (4 points)

<u>Subject three:</u>

We have ample evidence of the existence of the unconscious. It is necessary because the data of consciousness are extremely deficient, both in healthy men and in the patient; it occurs frequently psychic acts, which to be explained, presuppose other acts which themselves do not benefit from the testimony of consciousness. These acts are not only slips and dreams, in healthy humans, but all that is called psychic symptoms and compulsive phenomena in the patient. Our most personal daily experience presents us with ideas that come to us without knowing the origin and results of thought whose development has remained hidden from us. All these conscious acts remain inconsistent and incomprehensible if we persist in pretending that all that is happening to us, as psychic facts, must be perceived only by the consciousness.

Freud

1. Explain this text and state the problematic it raises. (9 points)
2. Discuss this text in the light of other theories. (7 points)
3. Does the unconscious have a role in artistic and scientific creation? Justify your answer. (4 points)

Correction

Subject one:

For sociology to be a real knowledge of the social phenomena, we should have reached a level where social facts are subject to interpretation.

1- Explain this judgment for "Durkheim," and state the problematic it raises. (9 points)
2- Discuss this judgment in the light of other theories. (7 points)
3- Do you see that the different statistical results of a social phenomenon can lead us to question the objectivity of sociology? Justify your answer. (4 points)

The statement states that we should study social facts as scientific facts.

1- Paragraph1: Intro- general idea + Problematic
Paragraph 2: Objectivity in social sciences (Comte- Durkheim) (9pts)

2- Paragraph 3: Criticism of objectivity in social sciences
Paragraph 4: Subjectivity in social sciences (Weber)
Paragraph 5: Synthesis (7pts)

3- Paragraph 6: Opinion Explain the question, then present at least two arguments with or without or both (4pts)

Subject two:

The struggle between science and philosophy is finished, the victorious science suppressed philosophy.

1- Explain this judgment and state the problematic it raises. (9 points)
2- Discuss this judgment in light of other theories. (7 points)
3- Do you believe that only philosophers can philosophize? Justify your answer. (4 points)

This statement suggests that Philosophy and Science are dissymetrical

1- Paragraph1: Intro: general idea about philosophy and science + Problematic
Paragraph 2: Differences between philosophy and science (9pts)

2- Paragraph 3: Criticism philosophy and science are not so different and why
Paragraph 4: Complementarity between philosophy and science - proofs
Paragraph 5: Synthesis, All in all, both points of views are to be joint together (7pts)

3- Paragraph 6: Opinion -
Explain the question, then present at least two arguments with or without or both (4pts)

Subject three:

We have ample evidence of the existence of the unconscious. It is necessary because the data of consciousness are extremely deficient, both in healthy men and in the patient; it occurs frequently psychic acts, which to be explained, presuppose other acts which themselves do not benefit from the testimony of consciousness. These acts are not only slips and dreams, in healthy humans, but all that is called psychic symptoms and compulsive phenomena in the patient. Our most personal daily experience presents us with ideas that come to us without knowing the origin and results of thought whose development has remained hidden from us. All these conscious acts remain inconsistent and incomprehensible if we persist in pretending that all that is happening to us, as psychic facts, must be perceived only by the consciousness.

Freud

1. Explain this text and state the problematic it raises. (9 points)
2. Discuss this text in the light of other theories. (7 points)
3. Does the unconscious have a role in artistic and scientific creation? Justify your answer. (4 points)

This text suggests that it is the unconscious that rules our lives.

1- Paragraph1: Intro- general idea about the topic+ Problematic
Paragraph 2: Theory of the unconsciousness (Freud- Libido- 5 sexual stages- id,ego, superego- proofs of the unconsciousness) (9pts)

2- Paragraph 3: Criticism of the unconsciousness theory
Paragraph 4: Theory of the consciousness(Descartes- Husserl- Kant- Sartre- Introspection- reflection- choice)
Paragraph 5: Synthesis (7pts)

3- Paragraph 6: Opinion Explain the question, then present at least two arguments with or without or both (4pts)